Investigation of Bio-SPME Technology for the Enrichment of Illicit “Bath Salts” Cathinone Compounds from Biological Samples
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Introduction

Recently the field of illicit drug testing has been met with a changing environment with the rapid development of unregulated designer and synthetic compounds. Of most concern has been the development of a class of phenethylamine and cathinone compounds being marketed as “Bath Salts, Jewelry Cleaner or Plant Food”. Though sold as not for human consumption, these compounds are reported to generate stimulating effects similar to that of methamphetamine, heroin and MDMA. For a period of time, these compounds could be acquired legally through the internet and head shops because of no direct legal control. In the US, both state and local governments have instituted bans on the sale of these Bath Salt compounds. The difficulty in testing of the Bath Salts for forensic testing facilities is the fact these compounds are not detected under normal ELISA testing methods; additional more specific LC/MS methods are necessary.
The following presentation demonstrates the implementation of Biocompatible Solid Phase Microextraction (Bio-SPME) for the enrichment of a set of illicit “Bath Salts” directly from plasma in an effort to explore the utility and unique selectivity of these newly developed sampling devices. The uniqueness of these devices derives from the embedded functionalized media of the Bio-SPME fibers for use in targeted small molecule enrichment from complex biological matrices.

Bio-SPME Tippets prepared in a 96-tip array were utilized for the enrichment of nine illicit “Bath Salts” from plasma samples. Bio-SPME fibers functionalized with mixed mode hydrophobic and cation exchange particles were employed for selective enrichment of the Bath Salts. After enrichment, the Bio-SPME Tippets were then directly desorbed in a 96-well plate for direct LC/MS TOF analysis. Key parameters that impact analyte extraction efficiency, such as sample pH and extraction time were explored along with the impact of biological sample. In addition to extracted analyte, overall sample matrix was also monitored and compared with typical dilution/precipitation techniques.
Figure 1. Bio-SPME Tippet Configuration
Figure 2. Adsorption Mechanism on Bio-SPME Extraction
The adsorption mechanism for Bio-SPME is based upon Flick’s Law, where differential migration exists between analytes in solution and analytes that partition into the fiber coating. Bio-SPME is not an exhaustive technique and extraction is governed by distribution constants dependent on an analytes affinity for the coating as compared to the sample matrix. After a given amount of time, an equilibrium is achieved between the concentration of analytes in the matrix and the fiber coating.

Concentration of analyte in stationary phase compared to concentration of analyte in solution:

\[ K = \frac{n_s}{V_1 C_2^°} \]

- \( K \) = Distribution constant
- \( n_s \) = Moles of analyte in stationary phase
- \( V_1 \) = Volume of stationary phase
- \( C_2^° \) = Final analyte concentration in solution
Experimental Bath Salt Analytes

**Butylone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 221.105193 Da

**Ethylone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 221.105193 Da

**4-fluoromethcathinone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 181.090292 Da

**Methedrone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 193.110279 Da

**Methylone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 207.089543 Da

**MDPV**
Monoisotopic Mass = 275.152144 Da

**Mephedrone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 177.115364 Da

**3-fluoromethcathinone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 181.090292 Da

**4-fluoromethcathinone**
Monoisotopic Mass = 181.090292 Da

**Buphedrone**
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Figure 3. HILIC Separation of Bath Salts

- Analyte: MDPV, Buphedrone, 3-Fluoromethcathinone, Butylone, Ethylone, 4-Fluoromethcathinone, Mephedrone, Methylone, Methedrone
- Retention times (rt): 1.7, 2.1, 2.5, 2.7, 3.2, 3.5, 4.3, 5.0, 5.6

System: Agilent 1290 Infinity with 6210 TOF
- Column: Ascentis Express HILIC, 10 cm x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm (53939-U)
- Mobile phase: 5 mM ammonium formate (98:2 acetonitrile:water)
- Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min.
- Temp.: 35 ºC
- System pressure: 127 bar
- Injection: 1 µL
- MS det.: ESI+, 100-1000 m/z
Figure 3. HILIC Separation of Bath Salts (contd.)

Analyte          rt
1. MDPV           2.0
2. Buphedrone     2.2
3. 3-Fluoromethcathinone 2.7
4. Butylone       2.9
5. Ethylone       3.4
6. 4-Fluoromethcathinone 3.7
7. Mephedrone     4.6
8. Methylone      5.2
9. Methedrone     6.0
The charge state of an analyte will impact the efficiency of the extraction, particularly when using a mixed mode ion-exchange material. It is important the analytes are fully ionized in solution to increase the availability for ion-exchange to take place with the ionic site of the stationary phase. Table 1 depicts the affect of pH on the Log D value of the analytes, as pH decreases all of the Bath Salts become more hydrophilic, thus making them less likely to partition from an aqueous environment. At lower pH, these analytes are less likely to extract by partitioning as in standard reversed-phase modes.

The first portion of this study is to evaluate the pH affect on analyte extraction using the Bio-SPME mix mode fiber. Here pH controlled buffer solutions were spiked with Bath Salts and extracted as described in Table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>Mixed mode Lot 1738-77, Strong Cation Exchange/C18 silica in tippets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditioning</td>
<td>15 – 30 min. in 50% methanol-water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample pH3</td>
<td>Buffer solution pH 3 – water pH adjusted to pH 3.2 with formic acid, spiked to 200 ng/mL with Bath Salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample pH7</td>
<td>Buffer solution pH 7 – 10 mM ammonium formate pH 7, spiked to 200 ng/mL with Bath Salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction</td>
<td>15 min. from 96-well plates 1 mL volume, 750 µL of sample per well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desorption</td>
<td>60 min. in 150µL methanol with 0.5% NH₄OH (28% solution) in 300 µL volume 96 well plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drying step</td>
<td>60 min. at 40 °C under a blanket of nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstitution</td>
<td>50 µL of methanol and mixed for 2 min. on plate shaker, rapid speed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Extraction from Buffered Water, pH Effect

MDPV, buphedrone, 3-FMC, butylone, ethylone, 4-FMC, mephedrone, methylone, methedrone

- buffer pH 3
- buffer pH 7
pH Study Summary

As described in Figure 4, the sample pH dramatically influences the extraction efficiency of the Bath Salts. Under neutral pH7 condition, the analytes are partially charged resulting in a greater influence from hydrophobic extraction with the mixed mode surface. Under the acid pH3 conditions, Bath Salts are in a fully ionized form, thus greatly increasing the ability to ion-exchange with the mixed mode surface of the Bio-SPME fiber. At this pH the Bath Salts are also much more hydrophilic and have a higher tendency not to partition with a purely hydrophobic surface. The acid functionality of the mixed mode surface also acts to decrease the surface barrier of the alkyl C18 chain, thus making it a more accessible surface for the hydrophilic Bath Salts. Extraction time of 15 minutes demonstrated reproducible recovery results, while being pre-equilibrium condition.

A second study was performed on the extraction of the Bath Salts from plasma samples. For this portion of the study, both analyte extraction along with matrix monitoring was conducted for the plasma samples. Table 3 details the Bio-SPME plasma extraction conditions.
### Table 3. Bio-SPME Plasma Extraction Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma pH3</strong></td>
<td>Rat plasma pH adjusted with phosphoric acid, spiked to 50 ng/mL bath salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma pH7</strong></td>
<td>Rat plasma pH adjusted with 1 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 (10 mM final),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spiked to 50 ng/mL bath salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraction:</strong></td>
<td>15 min. from 96-well plates 1 mL volume, 750 µL of sample per well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desorption:</strong></td>
<td>60 min. in 150 µL methanol with 0.5% NH₄OH (28% solution) in 300 µL volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96-well plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drying step:</strong></td>
<td>60 min. at 40 °C under a blanket of nitrogen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Plasma Extraction pH Effect

![Graph showing plasma extraction pH effect for various substances.](image-url)
Figure 5 depicts the extraction efficiency of Bath Salts from the pH adjusted plasma samples. As anticipated, sample matrices can impact the extraction efficiency of the analytes. Plasma adjusted to pH 3 demonstrated similar extraction efficiencies to pH 3 buffer conditions. The plasma pH 7 extraction efficiencies were notably lower than from the pH 7 buffer conditions. Additional experiments confirmed protein binding effects of the bath salts in the plasma sample were the cause for the lower extraction efficiencies at pH 7. At pH 3 conditions, the low pH disrupted the protein binding effect of the Bath Salts, thus enhancing the extraction efficiencies on the Bio-SPME fiber.

A separate protein precipitation technique was performed on plasma samples for comparison of analyte response and matrix monitoring. Protein precipitation was conducted using 3:1 ratio of 1% formic acid acetonitrile to plasma, this centrifuged solution was then analyzed directly. Figures 6 and 7 depict the comparison of analyte response and extracted matrix between the Bio-SPME technique and protein precipitation.
Figure 6. Analyte Response: Sample Prep Technique

Bio-SPME Mixed Mode  pH 3.0

2X increase in response as compared to protein precipitation

In both samples, plasma spiked at 50 ng/mL of bath salts

Protein Precipitated Plasma
Figure 7. Phospholipid Matrix: Sample Prep Technique

Bio-SPME Mixed Mode  pH 3.0

1/10th phospholipids as compared to PPT

Ascentis Express C18, 5 cm x 2.1 mm, 5 mM ammonium formate (95:5 methanol:water) 0.3 mL/min., 55 °C
Conclusions

- The Bio-SPME extraction studies demonstrated the capability of analyzing sub 10 ng/mL concentration levels of Bath Salts in plasma samples.
- The mixed-mode chemistry of the Bio-SPME enable unique selectivity for enrichment of the polar basic compounds as the Bath Salts.
- Plasma samples prepared using the Bio-SPME technique had 10X reduction in detected phospholipid matrix as compared to standard precipitation techniques, while demonstrating increased analyte response.
- The Bio-SPME Tippet device enables a unique approach for micro extraction and concentration of analytes from biological matrices, requiring minimal sample volumes. As with other sample prep techniques, parameters such as sample pH and matrix can have an impact on extraction efficiencies.
Conclusions (contd.)

• The binding process used to embed the functionalized media facilitates a shielding affect to prevent binding of proteins and higher molecular endogenous matrix. By doing so, this sampling technique enables the differentiation of free form analytes in the sample form protein bound analytes.

• This approach demonstrates the ability for quantitative analyte enrichment from limited sample volumes. These tippets can be configured for singular or multi tippet processing, greatly increasing the capability for high throughput applications.

Ascentis is a registered trademark of Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC.